From: Eberhardt, Maja To: Candon Tanaka Cc: Renkens, Rachael

Subject: RE: Cultural water use and human health criteria Date: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 11:34:00 AM

Thanks Candon, that makes sense. We may provide a minor comment that focuses on the exposure side, or we may be able to address the edit outside the formal comment process, since a small edit would clarify the application of the HHC for organisms only without changing the substance of the use and the applied criteria.

Maja

From: Candon Tanaka <ctanaka@sbtribes.com> **Sent:** Wednesday, December 22, 2021 9:56 AM **To:** Eberhardt, Maja <eberhardt.maja@epa.gov> **Cc:** Renkens, Rachael < Renkens. Rachael@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Cultural water use and human health criteria

Hello Maja,

It was not considered for water + organism because it is not see as a regular or extended use of the water for consumption. I think it would be more appropriate to consider your last suggestion. I think we should rethink the language to better reflect the intent, which would be some ceremonies include a very limited ingestion of surface water. This is a tricky area to find the right language from the view point of water ingestion regarding indicator bacteria criteria.

Candon Tanaka

Water Quality Specialist Shoshone-Bannock Tribes Phone: (208) 239-4582 Fax: (208) 239-4592

From: Eberhardt, Maja <<u>eberhardt.maja@epa.gov</u>>

Sent: Friday, December 17, 2021 4:53 PM **To:** Candon Tanaka < ctanaka@sbtribes.com> **Cc:** Renkens, Rachael < Renkens.Rachael@epa.gov> **Subject:** Cultural water use and human health criteria

Hi Candon,

A question for you about your proposed water quality standards - we've noticed that the cultural/ceremonial use is not supported by human health criteria for consumption of water + organisms, just the criteria for organisms only (column B of table 3). However, the cultural/ceremonial use is described as including ingestion of water. In order to help us develop a useful comment or just understand the situation, could you let us know whether that was an oversight (column A of table 3 supports the cultural/ceremonial use), or what the rationale was for not including the HHC for consumption of water + organisms to protect the cultural/ceremonial use? Or would it be more appropriate to revise the exposures included in the description of the cultural/ceremonial use to exclude water ingestion?

Thanks very much. If a call would be convenient, please just let us know a few times that would be good for you and we'll set one up.

Best wishes,

Maja

Maja Eberhardt
Standards and Assessment Section
Water Division
U.S. EPA Region 10
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 155
Seattle, WA 98101-1128
Tel. 206-553-6265